Application No. Applicant(s) 10/034,228 CHEONG, WOO SEOCK Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Anh D. Mai 2814 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Anh D. Mai. (3)____. (2) Mr. Bill Park. Date of Interview: 06 December 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 2. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f(X) was reached. f(X) was not reached. f(X) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant states that the amended claim 2 does not contain new issue because this limitation were recited in the originally filed claim 2, line 2. After further review, the Examiner has agreed with the Applicant assertion. Therefore, the new issue as indicated in the Advisory Action mailed November 29, 2004 has been withdrawn. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required